N	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/605,145	MCCULLOUGH, KEVIN A.		
	a second	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brenda A Lamb	1734		
	All Participants:	Status of Application:			
	(1) <u>Brenda A Lamb</u> .	(3)			
	(2) <u>Attorney Tetreault</u> .	(4)			
	Date of Interview: 9 November 2004	Time:			
	Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: All Prior art documents discussed: All Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
	Part III.				
ļ	It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
				*	
Brand adul Le					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					
	~ -/ (ApplicativApp	oncant's Representative Signa	Iture – if annmnr	iate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: AttorneyTetreault agreed to changes in the claims in order to more positively set forth applicant's invention and to obviate 112 rejections thereby placing the application in condition for allowance. AttorneyTetreault agreed to changes in Figure 1 to depict the means for injecting a pressurized flow of polymer material into the injection molding die.